United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/300,302	04/27/1999	GERARDO HIDALGO LLINAS	B-3645.61707	4291
7590 02/06/2004			EXAMINER	
JOHN PALMER C/O LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			PASTERCZYK, JAMES W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2100	CA 00026		1755	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
₽	09/300,302	LLINAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	J. Pasterczyk	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ///2	4/03				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11 and 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/300,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 11/24/03 and refers to the Office action mailed 5/20/03.

- 2. Claims 5, 11, 15-17 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 15-17, there are still numerous instances where "cyclo" is misspelled as well as "methylene" and "cyclopentadienyl" as noted in previous Office actions. In claims 5 and 22, insert --an-- before "alumoxane" and --a-- before "trialkylaluminum" since these are genuses, not species. Further in claim 22, "trialkylaluminum" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, R^{III} is defined as a monovalent entity; this contradicts claim 1 in which it is required to be divalent.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vega as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 5 of the previous Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidalgo Llinas as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 6 of the previous Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/300,302

Art Unit: 1755

- 7. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canich as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 7 of the previous Office action.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

 Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1755

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is none.

Ъ

1/27/04

Wark L. Bell

Supérvisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700